

NEMA XR 27-2012

---

X-ray Equipment  
for Interventional  
Procedures  
User Quality  
Control Mode



**NEMA XR 27-2012**  
*X-ray Equipment for Interventional Procedures*  
*User Quality Control Mode*

*Published by:*

**National Electrical Manufacturers Association**

1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1752

Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

[www.nema.org](http://www.nema.org)

[www.medicalimaging.org](http://www.medicalimaging.org)

© Copyright 2012 by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights, including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

## NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While NEMA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards and guideline publications.

NEMA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. NEMA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. NEMA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, NEMA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

NEMA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. NEMA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.



**MITA**  
MEDICAL IMAGING  
& TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE  
A DIVISION OF **NEMA**

1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street • Suite 1752  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
Tel: 703.841.3200  
Fax: 703.841.3392  
[www.medicalimaging.org](http://www.medicalimaging.org)

To: Current Holders of NEMA XR 27-2012  
From: NEMA Communications Department  
Date: April 12, 2013  
Subject: Errata to NEMA XR 27-2012 *X-ray Equipment for Interventional Procedures User Quality Control Mode*

The current publication of NEMA XR 27-2012, *X-ray Equipment for Interventional Procedures User Quality Control Mode* has incorrect information. The phrase, “either by a *manual control mode* or by selecting preset combination values,” should be inserted after the words “selection of values,” which precedes the second set of bulleted items under Section 2.3, rather than following the words: “Focal spot size,” to indicate that it applies to all of the second set of bulleted items.

The current document states on page 6, in Section 2.3, **QUALITY CONTROL TESTING OF THE X-RAY CONTROL PARTS OF THE EQUIPMENT:**

“The *equipment* shall provide x-ray acquisition conditions to perform the QA/QC tests. The *equipment* shall enable selection of values for:

- kV
- mA
- ms
- Spectral filtration
- Focal spot size either by a *manual control mode* or by selecting preset combination values.

The above text should read:

“The *equipment* shall provide x-ray acquisition conditions to perform the QA/QC tests. The *equipment* shall enable selection of values, either by a *manual control mode* or by selecting present combination values, for:

- kV
- mA
- ms
- Spectral filtration
- Focal spot size

Please insert the attached errata page into your standard.

## **2.3 QUALITY CONTROL TESTING OF THE X-RAY CONTROL PARTS OF THE EQUIPMENT**

To enable x-ray dose-related constancy testing, the EQUIPMENT shall provide means for the QUALITY CONTROL USER to perform x-ray dose-related QA/QC tests.

In addition to manufacturer-recommended tests, the EQUIPMENT shall provide means to perform the following tests described in IEC 60601-2-43:

- Half-value layer
- Dose reproducibility
- mA linearity
- kVp, mA, pulse width accuracy
- CAK and DAP accuracy
- x-ray tube output measurement

The EQUIPMENT shall provide x-ray acquisition conditions to perform the QA/QC tests. The EQUIPMENT shall enable selection of values, either by a MANUAL CONTROL MODE or by selecting preset combination values, for:

- kV
- mA
- ms
- Spectral filtration
- Focal spot size

The EQUIPMENT shall ensure that normal x-ray tube protection mechanisms remain active during USER QUALITY CONTROL MODE. In order to protect the x-ray detector from excessive radiation, the user is responsible for shielding the detector with sufficient lead material.

Note 1: Quality control testing of the x-ray control EQUIPMENT parts does not include the imaging detector, therefore there is no need to store images acquired while in this mode.

Note 2: QA/QC of x-ray parameters may be incompatible with INTENDED USE; therefore patient entrance dose rate limits may not be active.

## **2.4 ACCESS TO AND EXPORT OF BOTH 'FOR PROCESSING' AND 'FOR PRESENTATION' IMAGES**

FOR PROCESSING IMAGES and FOR PRESENTATION IMAGES are not required to be generated during the same acquisition.

### **2.4.1 FOR PROCESSING IMAGES**

The EQUIPMENT shall provide access to the FOR PROCESSING IMAGES acquired during the QUALITY CONTROL MODE in both RADIOSCOPY and RADIOGRAPHY.

EQUIPMENT shall enable the control of one or more of the following parameters:

- kV
- spectral filtration

## FORWARD AND CONVENTIONS

This standard is intended to be used by medical imaging device manufacturers in the design and manufacture of x-ray equipment intended to perform interventional procedures.

This standard was developed by the Interventional Group of the x-ray Imaging Section of the Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance (MITA), a division of NEMA. Inquiries, comments, and proposed or recommended revisions should be submitted to the x-ray Imaging Section by contacting:

**Vice President  
Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance (MITA)  
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1752  
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209**

At the time of the approval of the standard, the Interventional Group was composed of the following members:

GE Healthcare  
Medtronic Navigation  
Philips Healthcare  
Siemens Healthcare  
Shimadzu Corporation  
Toshiba America Medical Systems, Inc.

The verbal forms used in this standard conform to usage described in Annex H of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. For the purposes of this standard, the auxiliary verb:

- “Shall” means that compliance with a requirement or a test is mandatory for compliance with this standard
- “Should” means that compliance with a requirement or a test is recommended but is not mandatory for compliance with this standard
- “May” is used to describe a permissible way to achieve compliance with a requirement or test

Terms and abbreviations used throughout this standard that have been defined in clause 1.4 are in italics, e.g., *air kerma*.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>FOREWORD AND CONVENTIONS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Section 1</b>	<b>OVERVIEW</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	SCOPE	1
1.2	RATIONALE	1
1.3	REFERENCES	2
1.4	DEFINITIONS	2
1.5	ABBREVIATIONS	4
<b>Section 2</b>	<b>REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	5
2.2	CONTROL OF ACCESS	5
2.3	QUALITY CONTROL TESTING OF THE X-RAY CONTROL PARTS OF THE EQUIPMENT	6
2.4	ACCESS TO AND EXPORT OF BOTH <i>FOR PROCESSING</i> AND <i>FOR PRESENTATION IMAGES</i>	6
2.4.1	<i>For Processing Images</i>	7
2.4.2	<i>For Presentation Images</i>	8
2.4.3	Information for the <i>Responsible Organization</i>	8
2.5	CALIBRATION INPUTS FOR MANDATORY FIELDS IN THE RADIATION DOSE STRUCTURED REPORT (RDSR)	7
2.6	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTATION OF SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	8
2.7	ACCESS TO RADIATION DOSE STRUCTURED REPORTS (RDSR)	8
<b>ANNEX A</b>	<b>RATIONALES</b>	<b>A-1</b>
<b>ANNEX B</b>	<b>DE-IDENTIFICATION OF RDSR</b>	<b>B-1</b>

## Section 1 OVERVIEW

### 1.1 SCOPE

This standard applies to x-ray equipment intended to perform interventional procedures and defines a set of minimum requirements designed to more easily facilitate quality control at the facility level. In particular, items pertinent to the following quality control elements are contained within:

- Physical testing of equipment;
- Electronic audit of system configuration; and,
- Electronic reporting of relevant data and information.

This first edition sets the requirements for fixed x-ray interventional equipment indicated for prolonged x-ray procedures (e.g., neuroradiological and cardiovascular procedures as indicated in the annex AA of IEC 60601-2-43:2010).

The x-ray equipment falling under this standard is hereafter referred to as *equipment*.

### 1.2 RATIONALE

Numerous applicable international, national or state regulations require medical physics level testing of radiographic and fluoroscopic equipment after specific events (e.g. installation, x-ray tube change) as well as on a routine basis. Specific test requirements and acceptable performance values are included in individual regulations. Many of the current regulations have been in place for decades. In general, these regulatory requirements were established as a means to assure safe and reproducible performance from an x-ray system. X-ray equipment designs that were considered when drafting the regulations were based on open-loop control logic and presumed that irradiation factors were set manually by the technologists before each exposure. Evaluation of irradiation factors such as x-ray tube potential (kV) accuracy, x-ray tube current (mA) linearity, and minimum half-value layer (HVL) are essential to assure safe and adequate performance of this design of equipment.

The evolution of equipment design brought computer-controlled, feedback-stabilized x-ray systems into the market and into clinical practice. Such equipment produces radiation at a level that is inherently more accurate and consistent than open-loop controlled equipment. In addition, newer equipment is much more likely to be used in an automatic exposure control (AEC) or automatic dose-rate control (ADRC) mode instead of with manual settings.

The user interface also evolved from separate manual controls for each irradiation parameter to a single hardware or software exam protocol selection buttons (EPSBs), which are each associated with a full set of programmed technical factors and control algorithms designed to optimize the image acquisition and display. A state-of-the-art radiographic or fluoroscopic system can have hundreds of such EPSBs, some, if not all of which may be editable. Electronic documentation of system configuration and the technical factors invoked by each EPSB are desired to better enable a *responsible organization* to monitor changes and equipment settings being employed in clinical practice.

The presence of automatic control loops can potentially interfere with performing quality control measurements. A non-clinical mode is needed to be able to perform these measurements.